

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,576	03/19/2004	Jan Weber	03-237	9259
27774 MAYER & WI	7590 02/12/200 TLIAMS PC	EXAMINER		
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST			STEWART, ALVIN J	
2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD,	NI 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/805,576	WEBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2006.						
·— ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,8,14 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-13,15-30,33-34 and 36-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>35</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 10.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 2, 8, 14, and 32 are withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-13, 15-30, 33-34 and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al US Patent 6,530,951 B1 in view of Sheu et al US Patent 5,837,377 A.

Bates discloses a stent comprising a metallic region whose surface comprises a plurality of depressions, a mutilayer coating region of multiple polymeric layers deposited over the surface and a therapeutic agent disposed beneath or within the layers. However, Bates does not disclose a polyelectrolyte layers covering a stent.

Regarding claim 3, see figures 8-10D.

Sheu et al teaches a medical platform having a plurality of polyelectrolyte layers for the purpose of having water soluble biocompatible polymers covering a medical device (see col. 1, lines 19-27). Additionally, Sheu et al teaches a plurality of polyelectrolyte layers capable of having different layers with different net charges opposite in sign from the adjacent layers (see col. 7, lines 11-16; lines 28-33; and lines 40-51).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the material property of the polymeric cover of the Bates et al

reference with the water soluble multiple polymeric polyelectrolyte layers having different net charges in order to create a more versatile, biocompatible surface capable of being absorbed by water.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 09, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's representative argues that the new limitations are not disclosed in the prior art. However, the Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's point of view. As disclosed above col. 7, lines 11-33 disclose a plurality of polyelectrolyte layers capable of having different layers with different net charges opposite in sign from the adjacent layers. For the above reasons the Examiner believes that the rejection is still proper.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 31 is allowed.

Claim 35 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/805,576 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3738

January 20, 2007.